

**MINUTES  
UNIFIED DIRECT PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY MEETING  
JOINT GOVERNMENT CENTER CAMPUS**

**June 10, 2021**

**MEMBERS  
PRESENT:**

Broward County Mayor Steve Geller  
Broward County Commissioner Mark D. Bogen  
Broward County Commissioner Lamar P. Fisher  
Broward County Commissioner Beam Furr  
Broward County Commissioner Dale V.C. Holness  
Broward County Commissioner Nan H. Rich  
Broward County Commissioner Tim Ryan  
Broward County Commissioner Barbara Sharief  
Broward County Vice-Mayor Michael Udine

**(Telephonic)**

Mayor Dean J. Trantalis, City of Fort Lauderdale  
Commissioner Steven Glassman, City of Fort Lauderdale  
Commissioner Robert L. McKinzie, City of Fort Lauderdale  
Vice-Mayor Heather Moraitis, City of Fort Lauderdale  
Commissioner Ben Sorensen, City of Fort Lauderdale

**ALSO  
PRESENT:**

Bertha Henry, Broward County Administrator  
Monica Cepero, Deputy Broward County Administrator  
Andrew J. Meyers, Broward County Attorney  
Michael J. Kerr, Deputy Broward County Attorney  
Alan Cohen, Assistant Broward County Administrator  
Constance Mangan, Broward County Purchasing  
Jean Russo, Broward County Real-Time Reporter

Alain E. Boileau, City Attorney, City of Fort Lauderdale  
John C. Herbst, City Auditor, City of Fort Lauderdale

**(Telephonic)  
(Telephonic)  
(Telephonic)  
(Telephonic)**

Civic Unity Partners  
Joint Government Center Partnership  
Sonnenblick-Suffolk/Pirtle  
United Campus Partners

A Unified Direct Procurement Authority Meeting was held on Thursday, June 10, 2021, at 1:05 p.m., in Room 422 of the Broward County Governmental Center, Fort Lauderdale, Florida.

(The following is a near-verbatim transcript of this day's Unified Direct Procurement Authority Meeting, Joint Government Center Campus Meeting.)

---

MAYOR GELLER: I believe that we currently have a quorum of both bodies here. So I would like to call the June 10th meeting of the Broward County Commission to order, the Broward County Commission portion of the Unified Direct Procurement Authority Meeting.

Mayor Trantalis, would you care to call the Fort Lauderdale City Commission to order?

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Thank you, Mayor. I'll take attendance. Commissioner Steve Glassman?

COMMISSIONER GLASSMAN: Here.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Vice-Mayor Heather Moraitis is not here.

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: This meeting is being recorded and/or transcribed.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Does that mean that everything that was just said before this is not recorded or transcribed?

MAYOR GELLER: Yeah. But don't worry.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Okay.

Commissioner Ben Sorensen?

COMMISSIONER SORENSEN: Here. Thank you, Mayor.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: And Commissioner Robert McKinzie is not here.

So we have a quorum; three out of five.

MAYOR GELLER: A quorum of the City being present.

We have Commissioner Dr. Sharief. We have Vice-Mayor Udine, myself, Commissioner Holness, Commissioner Fisher, Commissioner Bogen and

Commissioner Ryan are all present. We're missing one; Senator Rich. Is Senator Rich on the phone? Does anyone know if Senator Rich is calling in?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: She's here.

MAYOR GELLER: She's here? Okay. Well, I don't see her here, but we have eight out of nine, and presumably, Senator Rich will be here momentarily. Both the City of Fort Lauderdale and Broward County have quorum present.

On March 9, 2021, the UDPA approved the issuance of a Request for Qualifications for development teams for a public-private partnership development of the Joint Government Center Campus. The RFQ is a first step in a two-step solicitation process.

We meet today as the UDPA to shortlist the responses received to the RFQ for participation in the second step of the process, the Request for Proposals step.

We have four proposers to consider, and we could decide to shortlist all four or only three of them.

Before we shortlist, City and County staff have a presentation. The four proposers is available to answer questions from the UDPA. The proposers will not be making presentations.

Before I proceed any further, Mayor Trantalis, do you have any remarks that you wish to make?

MAYOR TRANTALIS: None at this point.

MAYOR GELLER: Thank you, sir.

(Commissioners Furr and Rich entered the room.)

All UDPA members must be free of conflicts of interest as provided by Part III, Chapter 112 Florida Statutes, as amended, and Section 1-19 of the Broward Code of Ordinances, as amended.

And let the record reflect that all nine Commissioners for Broward County are present.

COMMISSIONER RICH: Here.

COMMISSIONER FURR: Here.

MAYOR GELLER: We will now hear a staff presentation. Assistant County Administrator Alan Cohen will make a presentation on the RFQ responses. We could ask questions of Mr. Cohen before we move on to any questions UDPA members may have of any of the proposers.

(Commissioner Rich left the room.)

Before Mr. Cohen begins, I will say, I asked a series of questions of Mr. Cohen. (Indiscernible.)

(Indiscernible telephonic interruption.)

Operator, can you mute the lines, please?

Okay. I know that some questions have arisen as to the cost for the proposal. One of the questions that I have asked Mr. Cohen; I've been advised that, well, instead of the 350 a foot, which might be normal, and it could be a much higher number, that we're paying extra for certain items such as; instead of having a rectangular efficient building, we're trying to do a statement building, which might be curved or you know, floating in the air or whatever, but will make a statement; but is not necessarily the cheapest way of construction, which I don't necessarily have a problem with, but we need to know what it is we're paying for that. I've been told we're looking at --

(Commissioner McKinzie entered the room.)

Let the record reflect Commissioner McKinzie is here on behalf of the City of Fort Lauderdale. I'm sorry? I already announced all nine Commissioners are here for the County.

(Commissioner Rich entered the room.)

I understand that we may be paying extra because we're on net zero emissions. If so, I don't necessarily have a problem with that, but we should know what it is that we're paying for that, you know, and things of that nature. So I asked, and I understand, Mr. Cohen, as part of his responses, will be explaining some of the extras that are in there. For example, a standard office building doesn't have a daycare facility. We're building daycare into this at the request.

So Mr. Cohen, as part of his responses, will be explaining what these additional cost drivers are. And at some point in time, now or later, the County Commission and the City Commission, acting as the Joint UDPA, can discuss whether each or any of these or all of these are items that we actually want to be paying for. And that would explain why there is cost. And I believe you will see that there are already some substantial cost reductions based on a series of space reductions.

So at this point in time, if there are no -- Mr. Mayor, if you don't have any preliminary remarks, I'll call on Mr. Cohen.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: My sentiments are the same as yours, so I'm eager to hear what Mr. Cohen has to say.

MAYOR GELLER: Thank you.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Does anyone from the Commission have any other comments? Okay.

MAYOR GELLER: If there are no other questions or comments from any of the County Commissioners, which I don't see, Mr. Cohen, you're recognized, sir.

MR. COHEN: Thank you. I would like to point out that Mr. Lagerbloom, the City Manager, will be co-presenting this presentation with me. I'd also like to note for the record --

MAYOR GELLER: And I neglected -- I apologize -- to recognize Mr. Lagerbloom being here, as well as County Administrator, County Attorney, Deputy County Administrator, and numerous staff from all; from both counties and City Attorney. We have numerous staff here from both the County and the Cities -- singular.

MR. COHEN: Thank you, sir. And Mayor, I was also asked to inform you that Commissioner Moraitis is on the telephone.

MAYOR GELLER: Excellent.

Commissioner Moraitis, can you hear us, please?

And is she muted?

COMMISSIONER MORAITIS: I can hear you all.

MAYOR GELLER: And we can hear you, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER MORAITIS: Can you hear me? Okay. Super. Thank you.

MAYOR GELLER: So for the record, the entire County Commission and the entire City Commission are present.

Mr. Cohen, you're recognized, sir.

MR. COHEN: Thank you very much, Mayor. I will jump right in. So here's our agenda that we're going to be going over with you today. We'll give you -- and

a lot of what I'm going to -- a lot of what Mr. Lagerbloom and I will share today, you heard before, but it's always incumbent upon us to make sure --

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You've been muted. To unmute yourself press \*6.

-- that the general public, particularly those who are watching for the first time, have a general sense of what we're doing. So we do apologize for any redundancy in that.

I'm going to go -- so let's talk about project history first. We made a number of statements in our solicitation documents. We kind of consolidated them --

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You are no longer muted.

MR. COHEN: -- into what's before you as far as an overall goal to build iconic and transformative Joint Government Center Campus that meets the needs of our organizations and governments, to serve to share costs, and foster intergovernmental cooperation, create better connections of all kinds throughout our community and provide a variety of economic development benefits.

This project was first discussed decades ago. And the City and County had been flirting with the idea. In 2017, November, the City and the County got together; the City Commission and the County Board, and decided unanimously to move forward with this joint project.

The following year the Joint Working Group started working together and primarily focused on location and initial process. For the County, if you remember, that was initially Commissioner LaMarca, and then Commissioner Ryan took his place, and it was then Commissioner Trantalis, now Mayor Trantalis, who "carried the ball," for the City, along with Mr. Lagerbloom and myself.

That led to the Interlocal Agreement; the formation of this body, that we are speaking before.

We solicited a design criteria package that was completed in 2020. Things started accelerating. And this year we hired KP&G. We did a market sounding in February. We issued the RFQ in March. We're here today to qualify the developer teams as a result of that RFQ.

And Mr. Lagerbloom, your next slide is going to be yours.

So key market sounding findings; there were numerous ones, but the three that I want to point out to you today, that the use of a progressive predevelopment agreement process, rather than a hard bid process, would better meet our design --

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: (Indiscernible.)

MAYOR GELLER: I'm sorry, Mr. Cohen.

AT&T operator, do you have a way of silencing everybody --

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You've been muted. To unmute yourself, press \*6.

-- with the exception of Commissioner Moraitis? Operator, do you have the ability to silence everyone except Commissioner Moraitis? Because we want her to be able to continue to comment.

Operator?

MR. COHEN: Connie? Okay. Staff is working on that right now, sir.

So specifically, design is very important to all of you. And a predevelopment agreement process gives us much more input into the design of the project. And financial planning needs; had we gone with a hard bid process, we would have had to commit upfront to the direction we want to go in. Going this route, we're able



to see the financial proposals, and then make decisions on the direction we want to go through.

The market is very supportive of a variety of different deal structures, including different financial arrangements for the City and the County. So one might decide to go with developer financing. The other might decide to go with its own financing, or any number of hybrids. The market is very open to all that. And then as the Mayor already pointed out, the number of shortlisted teams should be no more than three to four. And that's one of the things that you'll be addressing today.

Chris?

MR. LAGERBLOOM: Thank you.

Good afternoon, Mayors and Commissioners, I'll take it over for a couple of slides and then hand it back over to Alan.

What I want to touch on -- how do I advance this slide, Alan? Oh, the little arrow. Turn it forward.

MR. COHEN: Yes.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: Excellent. So what I want to talk about this afternoon; just remind you of, is this RFQ process that we've been through, and where we're coming to today, in steps, hopefully to move forward in this process. So with respect to the RFQ that went out, we used it as a mechanism to qualify developer teams and to look at financial capabilities, previous experience and expertise. Very important to note here, that we believe that this satisfies the first step of the CCNA requirement, and that's a step that we need to go through in this process. So that was one very important part of the RFQ.

The information that was provided by the developers and financial partners, general contractors, architects, engineers; as Mr. Cohen said, we received five. And I think at this point, we're down to four. We looked for experience with P3's and what experience they had in the past, other social infrastructure projects and their project approach to construction and management. But we left out the O&M part at this point. We'll defer that and get better on the O&M when we get to the RFP process. So those were some of the things in the RFQ.

If we move forward --

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You are no longer muted.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: -- moving toward with the RFP; that's not ready for distribution yet, at this point, but it will be after you all come back from your summer break. It would require, at this point, as it's written, requires a submission of a 10 percent conceptual design package and companion financial proposal for the design and construction. And a 30-year O&M. So this is what we'll bring back in, that O&M requirement. We'll also include a draft development agreement, and then a comprehensive -- a preliminary and then a comprehensive development agreement.

And from the time that the RFP goes out, we hope to have responses within 16 weeks. About four months. So if we look toward August as when that will end up "hitting the street," hopefully that puts us into September, October, November, December. Figure towards the end of the year, we should have response back to the RFP.

I'll hand that back to you.

MR. COHEN: Thank you.

Actually, I just want to clarify a distinction in language. In the P3 world, a predevelopment agreement, is -- you enter an initial agreement that covers initial design efforts while you're negotiating a final comprehensive development agreement. That's what the P3 world calls it.

The Florida P3 Statute calls the PDA an interim agreement, and the comprehensive development agreement; a comprehensive agreement. So if you ever see those different wordings, it's a statute-related versus industry-related.

So on the project cost analysis, we took a good hard look. It was -- we heard loud and clear from all of you at both the City and County, your concerns about the initial projections that our consultants had generated; so we "took a stab at it internally," and both the City and County worked on this. Our construction management folks led the way. And then the City contributed some important input on it as well.

And it's also based on more current information. Importantly, we removed the three vacant floors of the structure, so we're down to 811 square feet rather than the -- I'm sorry -- 811,000 square feet. Thank you, Mayor.

There are additional cost reductions possible. We can revisit the different types of rooms that we have; whether or not we want two chambers versus one. We can revisit room size. We can look at adjacencies and configurations of floors; because the current configuration has what we call, some "stranded space," in it because the departments didn't fit exactly to take up all the room on those floors. We can look at project goals, as the Mayor mentioned earlier, that I'm going to go through with you momentarily, some cost drivers, and some of those are policy-based. So you might want to revisit those. We're not necessarily suggesting to do, so but we want to let you know

that there are options for us to take advantage of if in fact we do want to revisit that and take a harder look.

MAYOR GELLER: And Mr. Cohen, it was not my suggestion that we necessarily revisit those, but if we're paying extra, and we decide as a policy goal that it's important for the City and County to pay extra to get to net zero or provide daycare or any of these things, we need to be able to explain why we're paying this. So that's why we've asked.

MR. COHEN: Okay. Thank you, Mayor.

So these are the newer numbers that were shared with you quite recently. So the office tower and parking garage, which is shared between the two of us; 625 million, the City share and County share at the bottom of your slide. The County will be acquiring the equity interest from the FTA, and part of the property, that's the land acquisition component. Bus terminal, 21 million. And transportation, 60 million. So our project total has dropped down to 715 million. And really for the purposes of our City partners, we're really focused on the 625 million figure, because the other parts are either County responsibility or monies -- project components that we expect full funding on from outside sources.

Now -- I'm sorry. Here we go. Okay. This is the slide that I put together in response to the Mayor's question about cost drivers. So why does it cost us more money to build a building than the private sector?

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Excuse me, Alan. That squiggly line; that's not a negative? That's just a line.

MAYOR GELLER: That's just an "about."

MR. COHEN: That is an approximate. It's the symbol for "approximate."

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Oh, okay. Usually two lines like that are an "approximate."

MR. COHEN: Really?

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Yes. In mathematics it's two lines.

MR. COHEN: Thank you. And duly noted. I am going to look that up after and alter my future presentations accordingly, Mayor.

COMMISSIONER GLASSMAN: Alan was using the secretarial school method.

MR. COHEN: Thank you, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER SORENSEN: Alan, I just had a quick question on land acquisition on the previous slide.

MR. COHEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SORENSEN: Does this necessitate further land acquisition or --

MR. COHEN: No, no. What's -- so the County owns the property, but the Federal Transportation Administration has an equity interest in that property. We are purchasing their equity interest on a portion of the property where the office tower is going.

(INDISCERNIBLE AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC MUSIC.)

COMMISSIONER SORENSEN: Oh, okay --

MR. COHEN: And that's because the property was originally purchased with FTA monies.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay. Is there any way -- I think we have music playing.

MR. COHEN: Connie, can you get -- mute?

TELEPHONIC UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And we can't see your slides either.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay. I also received a request from a reporter. Is this being streamed live?

MR. COHEN: My understanding was that it is.

COMMISSIONER BOGEN: (Inaudible) It's our wonderful, you know, sophisticated system that we have here.

MR. TRANTALIS: You have very entertaining meetings.

MAYOR GELLER: They are.

MAYOR. TRANTALIS: Musical background.

MAYOR GELLER: Can we verify that we are being streamed live now on our website? Do we have a way of verifying this?

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You've been muted. To unmute yourself press \*6.

MAYOR GELLER: (Indiscernible.)

MR. COHEN: (Indiscernible.) Okay.

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You are no longer muted.

MAYOR GELLER: If this is being streamed live they should be able to. That's why I'm asking.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We should be up now.

UNIDENTIFIED TELEPHONIC SPEAKER: We can see it now.

MAYOR GELLER: I'm sorry?

UNIDENTIFIED TELEPHONIC SPEAKER: Thank you. We can see it now.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay. Hold on. I'm going to -- I'm contacting Sun Sentinel and tell them, "try now."

And this would be on the County website, correct?

MS. HENRY: Yes. We'll put it up.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay.

MS. HENRY: You want to keep going?

MS. CEPERO: Is it up now?

And Mayor?

MAYOR GELLER: Yes, ma'am.

MS. CEPERO: So what we're told is, it's not being just streamed because of the open and closed nature that this meeting has to operate within. So what's happening is, we sent out a link for the portions that are all open, and then individuals that have access to the closed portions, have gotten those appropriate links. So the vendors are able to --

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You've been unmuted --

MAYOR GELLER: Steve -- (Indiscernible) -- from the Sun Sentinel has asked --

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: To unmute yourself, press \*6.

MS. CEPERO: I'm getting the link to my email right now and I will send it to him.

MAYOR GELLER: I'm sorry?

MS. CEPERO: I will send that link to Steve.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay. You have his email?

MS. CEPERO: I will have it in just a moment.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay. Thank you. Sending you the link.

MR. COHEN: Okay. All right. So --

MAYOR GELLER: Please proceed.

MR. COHEN: -- as compared to the private sector --

MAYOR GELLER: Hold on one second. Okay. We're back. Okay. Go ahead.

MR. COHEN: -- so as compared to the private sector, we pay prevailing wages. That adds 11 percent to project costs. Instead of building a rectangular box, what we'll call "the iconic architecture" that we're looking for here, could add another ten percent to the cost.

Resiliency, we follow State Building Codes --

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You are no longer muted.

MR. COHEN: -- requirements, but we're exceeding those. When it comes to wind, we're building the building for a higher rating than what State Building Code requires.

For flood, we're looking not only at our future conditions maps. I mean, we're looking way beyond. We're looking 50, 60 years out. The private sector has a shorter time horizon.



LEED gold is approximately an eight percent increase in building just a normal building that's not LEED certified. Net zero, would add another eight percent on top of that.

MAYOR GELLER: On top of the LEED gold.

MR. COHEN: On top of the LEED gold.

MAYOR GELLER: Is net zero and LEED platinum the same thing?

MR. COHEN: No. They're different. But if you go "net zero" you're more likely to achieve LEED platinum. Because it incorporates many of the same things.

Enhanced HVAC, for the health and safety of the folks that work in the building and come to visit the building; the design criteria package mandates a hospital grade HVAC system. That is not normal for an office building. So that's adding on almost 12 percent there.

The building height is not something compared to the private sector. This is -- we threw this in just so you understood. We're both in shorter buildings right now. When you go up in a high-rise, you add significant costs. Building Code adds on structural components, exiting components. The requirements increase as far as the amount of space that's allocated for exiting to make sure the upper floors could get out quickly. And all told, that's approximately an additional 18 percent to go up versus the type of building that we're in right now, which is five stories.

And I believe it's at approximately eight stories that the Code kicks in, and you start seeing those heavier requirements.

Lastly, I have accessibility issues here. We provide family bathrooms, non-gender bathrooms. Those are not things that are typically in private buildings.

We invest more into ADA accessibility. We don't just meet the minimum. We really go out of our way to try to make our buildings truly accessible in every way possible. That's an additional one percent.

Mayor, I did not, to your point, specifically quantify the daycare center, but that's something we can easily come back to you on. That's going to be primarily driven by the additional square footage of that footprint. But --

MAYOR GELLER: Mr. Cohen, let me say two things first.

Mayor Trantalis, if it's okay with you, what I would suggest is we let Mr. Cohen complete his presentation, and then we could come back and address these, if that's okay with you? Okay. I see you're nodding yes.

And secondly, again, one of the things that I would like you to do, not necessarily right now, but again, if we're building something that's more expensive like this, I think we need to explain why we're doing it, what goals we're meeting; so things like the daycare center or there's, you know, public space available, things of that nature that are not in common buildings; if we're going to have to defend our expenditures to the public, we need this information.

MR. COHEN: Yes, sir.

MAYOR GELLER: Please proceed.

MR. COHEN: All right. So I'm going to turn it back to Chris for the previous slide that I mistakenly skipped over.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: Thank you, thank you.

So on this slide I'm going to talk to more on my Commission now, as opposed to the County Commission. This one really focuses on the City's costs as it

relates to the building itself. And we had this issue of reconciling exactly what the right square footage is and how it's comprised. So hopefully this slide accomplishes that.

The City's gross square footage in this project is 253,000 square feet. When we did a space and needs analysis some time ago, a couple of years ago, that came -- and really showed us that we needed about 150,000 square feet. And when you look at this plan that's brought forward -- when you look at the visible square footage --

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You've been muted. To unmute yourself --

MR. LAGERBLOOM: -- it is about 150,000 square feet. The delta between those two is comprised of really three things. One is --

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You are no longer muted.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: -- one is core areas, which here we have listed as elevators, stairwells, mechanicals, restrooms. So core areas.

Common areas, and those areas that we would share with the County, they have the same areas; the lobby, the kitchen, multifunction-type-space, and then a term that Alan used, just a little bit ago, called, "stranded space." What that is, is space that doesn't necessarily efficiently use the full amount of a floor plate. So if we have eight stories of City facility, and because of adjacencies -- these are wrong numbers, but I'm going to use them to illustrate -- if we had, you know, 20,000 square feet on a floor, if we were able to put four departments that used up 18,000 of that --

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You've been muted. To unmute yourself, press \*6.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: -- space. 18,000 of that space -- (indiscernible)

MAYOR GELLER: Can you figure out -- can someone from staff figure out, and if necessary, fire our current conference call people and get a different company?

TELEPHONIC AUTOMATED RESPONSE: You are no longer muted.

MAYOR GELLER: Because we cannot continue this. And this is not uncommon.

COMMISSIONER GLASSMAN: Chris, in the new building will we have our own IT and our separate chambers?

MR. LAGERBLOOM: I was prepared to answer the question, but then I realized --

COMMISSIONER GLASSMAN: I want to make sure that we do.

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You've been muted. To unmute yourself, press \*6.

COMMISSIONER SHARIEF: I think that we should use yours.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: So to finish that statement, Mr. Mayor, if I can continue, the "stranded space," would be the 2,000 square feet that wouldn't be used.

As this process moves on, we'll become better with that efficiency of each of the floors. And if we end up with only needing seven instead of eight, then that's a cost savings. If we really need eight and one-half or nine, we'll figure that out as we go forward. But that's the delta between the two numbers.

The other number,, and I think Alan will agree with me here, that I think comes down as we move in further along, is the cost of the parking structure. At this point, if you look, and do a quick mathematical equation, the cost of the parking structure is almost \$65,000 a space, and that is a lot of money per space in a parking structure. There's reasons for it, and the reasons for it is because structure has to be built such that

other things can be built on top of the parking deck itself. So when you start to put offices up there and start to run a bus depot -- and Alan can speak more to this. On the first floor, you have to build a different structure at the base level. So there's a reason for why the costs are where they are at this point, but I do think as we hone in, they're going to get much better. So hopefully that answers --

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You are no longer muted.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: -- some of the City's questions have been lingering out there on our City space.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: I have a couple of questions.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: Okay.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: So the difference between the two, the gross and the useable, is about 100,000 square feet.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: Correct.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: So -- now you're saying that the additional space is comprised of things that we share. So when you say, "that we're sharing it with the County," why is that 100,000 square feet being attributable to us if it's a shared space?

MR. COHEN: May I?

MR. LAGERBLOOM: Yeah, you can.

MR. COHEN: No. That --

MAYOR GELLER: I think that's the City's share of --

MR. COHEN: No. It's -- every single floor will have a commons area. So this is City-only space that has lobby, kitchen, multifunction space to it. So that's separate from the 200,000-plus square feet that's not part of this, that comprises that shared space that you're referring to.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: The County has their own number for their common areas. So we both will have our -- the County has their square footage for core, common, and "stranded space," as well. So those are not unique to us.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: All right. It's a little confusing, because if you're saying that we're sharing it with someone else, and yet the square footage is being attributed to our side, it's not really shared. It's just a common element within our own section of the building.

MR. COHEN: Right.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: That's a better way of characterizing it. Yes.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: All right. Does this also include our own separate chambers?

MR. LAGERBLOOM: Those are -- yeah. At this point, it's designed that there are two independent chambers. Yes.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Okay. And that square footage that's defined here contemplates that?

MR. LAGERBLOOM: Yes.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SORENSEN: Do the elevators and stairwells only access City floors?

MR. LAGERBLOOM: You know, you have to meet design, but that might make a lot of sense as the building is designed, so that there can be some speed in accessing the different locations of the building, and not, you know, not stop 27 times to get to the 28th floor. But you know, the elevator companies are experts in that and

how they build some of those things in so that you can end up with efficient trips in the building.

COMMISSIONER SORENSEN: Okay. That's what this infers?

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Heather, do you have any questions?

MR. COHEN: Commissioner, what we're saying here, is that each floor has space dedicated to an elevator core. It might serve that floor or it might bypass that floor. We're going to have a number of elevator shafts. They can be programmed, as Chris was pointing out, to serve only certain floors so that we can get to a certain area of the building quicker, but both of us have the same core on our floors. And so that's just part of the overall square footage.

COMMISSIONER SORENSEN: Great. Thanks.

MAYOR GELLER: Mayor, it may make more sense when we see what the County slide shows.

So please proceed.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: So we'll move from here. Alan's already talked about this slide. Let's talk a little bit about the summary of the developer team and their RFQ responses. We had five full responses, as I mentioned earlier; one partial, which really just through its information out there, it's a financing option – for -- to consideration – but really, five full responses. The partial response we haven't evaluated at this point. And we are down to four. So five, full. One has withdrawn. Four remaining teams.

The developer teams, the information submitted included their Org Chart; 13 member experience, expertise, financial information. We've been through this. Litigation information and references, and full nonfinancial binders from each team are provided to the UDPA, and that included the financial information. And you all should

have received what looks like a big, white binder that summarizes and captures most of that information.

Continuing on that, staffing consultants believe that the developer teams are qualified. So we're coming today to you with the recommendation that of the four that remain in the process, we believe all four are qualified.

There were no significant red flags or warnings that warranted any rejection of any team. So that's good news. The teams are comprised of high quality individuals, firms that are renowned in the industry. And I think if we were to select any of the four that remain, we would benefit from a variety of good expertise, project design and different financial approaches. So there's not one that stands out beyond the others, and just summarizing that they all, we believe, are qualified.

Next steps. First one -- and I'm going to talk again more to my Commission than the County Commission, is to secure outside counsel. One of the things that I understand through our City Attorney is that the County Attorney is looking for some decision or direction from us that says that we're willing to assist in the funding of that outside counsel.

Typically we would bring to you a budget request after somebody was identified to serve as that counsel. In this point, I think we would be wise to give some direction to either the City Attorney or to me to work with the County and County Attorney's Office, and that you all would be fine if I brought forward a budget amendment on July 6th to authorize the first year's spending of that.

Right now we anticipate that the first two years' worth of spending would be at a cost not to exceed \$1 million with a percentage basis of our, you know, input into the project at 43 percent. We would be looking at funding \$430,000 over two



years. I've added that to our 2022 Budget that you all received yesterday. I just need to add it to the current 2021 Budget if you all want to move forward with securing outside counsel. So I think before we leave here today, we should give some direction to the City Attorney or me to do that, so that the County Attorney has comfort -- County Administrator has comfort that they could move forward with trying to secure that outside counsel.

MAYOR GELLER: City Manager, my recommendation would be at the conclusion of this report, but before we get into the presentations, that we take up the issues of the cost drivers and of this, and any other issues that come up; unless it is your recommendation, Mr. Cohen's recommendation, that we do the presentations first and then go back to the cost drivers and the legal issue.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: Mayor, I think either order is fine, I think as long as we get it done before we leave, we're in good shape.

MAYOR GELLER: The only issue that I have right now other than reviewing the cost drivers, is this legal issue. If there's any others that we need to discuss and vote on, please make sure that you clearly identify them.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: Great. Let me finish quickly with these next steps slides. Resolving additional land acquisition; we put that on here because both of our organizations, as you know, have been just keeping an eye on the vacant land parcels that are around the bus depot. There's really no movement on any of those at this point with either the City or the County, but we don't want to take it off, that we're not continuing to at least review that as conditions change. The result of the temporary bus station and heliport issues, as you might recall, City Commission and Mayor, that our heliport presents a potential problem with the flight approach into for the height of this building

that we're contemplating. And we've indicated to the County that to the extent that that happens, we're willing to address it either by moving the heliport -- and I even heard from some that were not sure that we even need a heliport in the downtown anymore, but we would need to discuss that and resolve that to be able to move forward, and to resolve the temporary bus station deployment for the term of the project.

What we need to do over the summer is finish and issue -- or finish the RFP, and we'll need to bring that back to this group in August for your blessing to be able to release it. And then obviously we mentioned how we haven't talked about O&M yet, but we'll develop the O&M performance standards and metrics as well, along with our consultants. Any questions on that?

MAYOR GELLER: You're recognized, Vice-Mayor.

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: Where did the heliport come from? I never heard that before. Why are we building a heliport?

MR. LAGERBLOOM: We're not. We have one existing on top of our Riverwalk Center Parking Deck in the downtown. And the approach into it, causes buildings in that approach path to not be able to be higher than a certain height. And so we may either have to relocate it or decide that we don't need one any longer in the downtown. But it exists today on top of the parking garage.

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: So we don't have to -- we don't get -- will we lose our approval if we don't deal with that? Or is that an issue for us as taxpayers' that have to deal with it? What if we just said, we're not dealing with the heliport issue?

MR. LAGERBLOOM: If we said we're not dealing with the heliport issue, that has some constraints on the bus depot side as to high the building can be built. So I do think we need to deal with it, just to -- and I think we're happy to deal with it. And

it's been there long enough that the grant assurances that we have with the FAA, we believe, will not get in the way, that if we need to either move it to a different location or to eliminate it all together, it does not seem to be -- to get in the way of the project.

MAYOR GELLER: Let me ask both of you a question. Because I now see questions are about to start bubbling up. And I am trying to finish the presentation. Have you finished the presentation?

MR. COHEN: Two more slides.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: Two more slides.

MAYOR GELLER: Commissioner Ryan is that okay?.

As soon as -- we'll take general questions when the presentation has been completed.

MR. COHEN: And Vice-Mayor, just to clarify, there's an FAA component to the issue that you just raised. So that's why it's something that we do need to pay attention to and have talked to our partners about.

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: Okay. I mean, it just seems very confusing to the public watching this. I don't think we should be putting out there that there is a heliport being put on the County/City Governmental Center.

MR. COHEN: No. We -- so to clarify, I'll restate what you said, but I'll also clarify something else as well. So there is nothing in any of our documents indicating that we're adding a heliport to the Joint Government Center Project. There was language in the design criteria package regarding a possibility of a vertiport, which is for air taxi. So that is something still to be explored. And we put it in there just to be forward thinking, but that's -- there's no certainty to that at all. Okay?

MAYOR GELLER: All right. Please continue, sir.

MR. COHEN: Thank you.

So in addition to the items that Mr. Lagerbloom shared with you, we have to develop the comprehensive agreement, comprehensive development agreement. We have to importantly develop a City/County project agreement. I have referred to this in the past as cohabitation agreement with you. But thank you, Mayor, by the way -- yes, thank you. The ILA is the prenup, and now we're going into the co-hab. So we have to --

MAYOR GELLER: Is this like the joint roommate agreement?

MR. COHEN: Exactly.

MAYOR GELLER: They never work out.

MR. COHEN: I opened myself up for that. I deserve it. So after the RFP goes out, you all will have to review the submissions and make a final selection on our P3 development partner. We'll of course, then execute the interim agreement or predevelopment agreement with them, immediately begin project design, and then we would be finalizing negotiations on the comprehensive agreement, finish design, and then of course build the project.

Here is your new timeline. We hope to finish and initiate the RFP process. I just realized it's worded really poorly.

But in August, as Mr. Lagerbloom mentioned, there is a submittal bond that will be due from the shortlisted firms. A couple of weeks after that, RFP responses will be due back at the end of the year. We anticipate a final selection meeting for you all in March of '22. Execute the PDA within a couple of months.

We'll be including that interim agreement with the RFP. We'll have an opportunity to at least have some initial discussions. So by the time we make a final selection, hopefully it shouldn't take us too long to get to final resolution on that.

The same applies for the comprehensive agreement as well. We'll be able to have some initial conversations. And I want to be clear. Not negotiations. Conversations about general structure and whatnot, but not about particular deal terms.

We would go into conceptual design reconciliation. Our Board is familiar with that from the Convention Center where, Matthews presented a design. They were selected. We then went through two more iterations before you said, "okay, we're happy; let's move forward with this design. That's the reconciliation process to make sure that we're going to build a building that you all truly are proud of.

And then design development, which is the formal design process starting in August.

Commercial close, which is essentially the signing of the comprehensive agreement, hopefully in February of '23.

Financial close, about a month later. And rock and roll after that.

So now, Mayor.

MAYOR GELLER: Thank you.

Let me ask a question of Mayor Trantalis. I can either call everybody in the order that I see them or I could call the County Commissioners in the order I see them, turn it over to you to call the City Commissioners. Do you have a preference?

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Why don't we do it that way?

MAYOR GELLER: Okay. All right. So first then, the first issue is the issue of legal counsel that you asked us to address. So can you come back and let's see what we can agree on, on that.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: Sure. So what I am able to do, and this is just I guess --

MR. MAYOR: County Attorney, you also agree? You were signaling. Were you trying to track my attention?

MR. MYERS: Yes. Mayor, our Board has already taken the action required for this. I think it's just a decision for the City at this point. I did just want to mention, however; that we think we have resources to handle most, if not all of this work in-house. If it comes down to it, we would need tax assistance and public finance assistance theoretically. We raised the issue with the Board because it's our understanding that this is something that was requested as part of the market sounding. And it's also something that I think the City was interested in pursuing.

MAYOR GELLER: I also have a concern. If there is a mistake made, I would like a law firm with a you know, \$500 million malpractice policy, as opposed to our having to eat it.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: I'm out.

MAYOR GELLER: Well, you know, we're talking about a large amount of money over a lot of years.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: Mayor, maybe it would help with just a quick explanation why I think the question is even raised. And it might just be the different way that the County and the City actually move forward with buying services.

We certainly have money to afford legal services. We just don't have them then allocated at this point to a Joint Government Project.

What I understand, is the County Attorney would like that funding source to be in place before he starts his process looking for special counsel. And what I'm willing to commit to, and I think our Commission will commit to here publicly, and with you all, is to say -- is to direct me to bring that forward in our typical ordinary course on

July the 6th, which is when we have our next scheduled Budget Amendment, and I will appropriate funds for the first year of special counsel for this project.

And as I just mentioned to the Mayor and the Commission, to the extent they accept the budget that has been delivered this week for 2022, a preliminary budget, it does in fact call out funding for the Joint Government Complex, specifically in it. So I think we just have to close this gap for the balance of this Fiscal Year. And if you can get some comfort and assurance that this Commission -- that my Commission will act on that, on July the 6th, then I think with some certainty the County Attorney should feel confident being able to move forward and not waiting until July the 6th to start the process of identifying that special counsel.

MAYOR GELLER: County Attorney, do you have any comment? Or Mr. Mayor, we're only discussing the action by the City of Fort Lauderdale, so --

MR. MYERS: Yes. We had proposed -- excuse me -- and I think sent over a draft to the City, if I remember correctly, that both parties would retain counsel. And so basically whatever authority the City would obtain probably ought to include the authority to contract directly for counsel.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is that your understanding?

MR. BOILEAU: That's correct. It's just as you alluded to, our process is a little different, Commissioners, as you recall. We typically come to you with a resolution pursuant to the Charter that identifies the counsel, and requests your appointment of that counsel to serve at the pleasure of the City Commission.

Here, would be a little different where we would come before you, and seeking the authority for myself along with the County Attorney to make that selection. I have seen the preliminary list of potential law firms; none of them have conflicts with the

City. They're all very good firms. The County Attorney -- again, I have all the confidential in the world with their office and their skill sets. But since we are two governmental entities, I think it makes sense to have that outside counsel guide us through this process jointly. But in that sense, you know, we'll participate in the selection process. It just would be a little different than we're used to. And I think that would give a comfort level to the County Attorney that we have a commitment from us, that we'd be able to select someone without necessarily having to come back and name that individual through a separate process.

MAYOR GELLER: Mr. Lagerbloom, are you asking for a vote of your Commission at this point in time?

MR. LAGERBLOOM: If that would be appropriate, and it would give the County Commission comfort, I would be very happy to ask our Commission to make a motion, really just to direct the City Attorney and the City Manager to work with the County Attorney and the County Administrator to identify special counsel related to this project, and direct me to bring forward that Budget Amendment at our regularly scheduled July 6th meeting.

MAYOR GELLER: Mayor Trantalis.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Actually, I'm a little confused here. Because we're saying that we should have outside counsel, but your attorney is saying that you can handle it inside. So what are we asking?

MAYOR GELLER: He said he could, but still nonetheless recommending that we hire outside counsel.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Right. I know that our attorney is. Is your attorney saying the same thing?



MAYOR GELLER: Yes.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Okay. All right. Which makes sense. So we're not voting on anything right now, we're just getting a consensus to ask our --

MR. LAGERBLOOM: Guess I'll look to the City Attorney.

MR. BOILEAU: Right. You would be giving us direction to do that. I would separately have to bring that to you as a Commission through a Resolution by our Charter for that authority, but today would be at least a direction to myself and to the City Manager to receive.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Okay. Are we good with that?

COMMISSIONER GLASSMAN: Yes.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: All right.

MAYOR GELLER: Mr. Lagerbloom, did that satisfy --

MR. LAGERBLOOM: That satisfied. Yes. That's certainly satisfactory to me. Is that satisfactory to --

MAYOR GELLER: County Attorney?

MR. LAGERBLOOM: -- to the County Attorney and the County Commission.

MR. MYERS: I think we could work with that. Yes. Thank you.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: Great. Thank you.

MAYOR GELLER: And the second item, Mr. Lagerbloom, can you go back to the page with the cost drivers.

And then Mr. Cohen, if you'd come back up.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: You want the one -- this one, Alan?

MAYOR GELLER: The one -- yeah, that one. Okay.

These are the additional costs that we're looking at. Personally, you know, I'm not sure I have questions on any of these, but there will be people who are asking why we're going to be paying so much more than the private sector. So I would like us to at least have a full and robust discussion as to whether or not we agree on all of these, if there's any that we would consider.

I see Vice-Mayor Udine has his hand up. I'll call on you first. And if I see other -- first, the way that Mayor Trantalis has requested, is first we'll go through the County and then we'll turn it over to him for the City.

Vice-Mayor, you're recognized, sir.

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: Thank you.

Just a couple technical questions on this slide. What are we comparing this to? Because -- are we comparing this to what it cost building the private sector versus building this building? Because I mean, a building height versus a short structure, it doesn't matter between --

MR. COHEN: And Vice-Mayor, you're right. That one, and that's why it specifically says in parenthesis, "versus short structures." So that one is not a comparison of a private sector tall building to us building a tall building. It was just there for you know, to help you to understand that it's costing us more to build a tall, you know, building. A tower.

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: So if the tower alone cost 500 or \$600,000 (indiscernible) some other components. So approximately 12 percent. So \$60 million for enhanced HVAC?

MR. COHEN: The HVAC, the research that our folks did on it; HVAC can account for anywhere from 10 to 15 percent of project cost. And the enhanced HVAC

packages; double what our normal HVAC package would be. Let me explain why. It's not only a more robust mechanical system and higher grade filtration system, but it's the fact that you're not using plenums for recirculated air. Everything is ducted throughout the entire facility. So that adds a lot of cost if you multiply it throughout, rather than, you know, a lot of return air that we have in our older buildings goes up a ceiling vent and through the ceiling. Open. Picking up dust and other particles. So this is a much more self-contained system, and that's why it costs that much more.

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: So you know, from what we're seeing with private sector now, they all seem to be having -- new buildings that they're talking about now, are talking about this enhanced HVAC. So -- but \$60 million is a lot of money for recirculated air versus non-recirculated air.

MR. COHEN: You are correct that the private sector seems to be moving in this direction now. The comparisons that some of your colleagues have brought to me, have all been regarding existing buildings that had known construction costs associated with them. How can it cost them so much less to build that versus this; and so that's the type of comparison that we did. You're correct that some of the more progressive newer private sector developers are now incorporating that into it.

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: So if we wanted to reduce the cost of this when this gets bid out to whoever, one of the four that came in here, if we just said we wanted the basic HVAC of what they do for a new building, you're taking ten percent off the cost?

MR. COHEN: Yes.

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: And that would be the same thing. So iconic architecture. \$50 million for a different facade?

MR. COHEN: Oh, let me back up.

MAYOR GELLER: Gargoyles. We must have gargoyles on it.

MR. COHEN: No. I wouldn't -- by the way, I wouldn't take ten percent off the cost, because it's a ten percent addition, but off of -- because I think it's only a five percent reduction if I'm doing my math correctly here. But there is a reduction.

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: Okay.

MAYOR GELLER: What? Ten percent --

MR. COHEN: No. I'm trying to --

MAYOR GELLER: You have to explain that.

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: If we bid a building out at \$600 million including enhanced HVAC, enhanced iconic architecture, then this slide wouldn't be correct. If we take out, let's just say, enhanced HVAC --

MR. COHEN: Let me give you a numerical example. Let's say the building costs us 100 million, a private sector building, but with all this extra, it's costing us 170 million. So I added 10 million to the 100 million to get the HVAC, okay, but now I'm reducing it off of the 170 million. So it's not five percent, but it's not ten. Yeah. It's six something.

MAYOR GELLER: The ten percent is the extra above the base cost.

MR. COHEN: Correct. And I just wanted to clarify. The reduction would not be in-kind to the addition.

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: So the four people, the four groups that put a -- that satisfied our call for requests, they're going to bid this out. Are we telling them -- how are we telling them where we need to be with this? If I was one of those four groups, I would bid one of these out, a basic manilla package, and I would say, the other

three can bid out whatever they want, this is what I'm bidding out, and they're going to end up coming in \$150 million lower?.

MR. COHEN: Well, then, if they did that, they would not be in compliance with the design criteria package, which is a requirement for the proposal process. So all of these things are in the DCP.

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: Okay. So then that means it would require action from this Board at some point to say that we're going to need --

MR. COHEN: If you want to step back from any of these things, then yes, it we would require action, and we would need to notify the developer teams.

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: Okay. So when they bid -- so if this is in the package, do they bid like -- would they bid separate add-on units, like what they've done? I mean, how do we get to that place where we can make a decision?

MAYOR GELLER: I think we have to do that before. Like, "now-ish."

MR. COHEN: I mean, if there are particular items that you want to identify, we could structure the RFP in a way, I believe. Right. I mean, as Mike Kerr just said, we're going to ask for value engineering, but I think what the Vice-Mayor is also asking is can we ask for alternative bids as well. Right. And absolutely we can do that.

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: I mean, I think that that would be the only way we can add -- we have to have additional bids in here. Because, as just as one vote, you can't bring this to us with a squiggly line and say, 12 percent for enhanced HVAC, which works out to \$60 million, and say -- you know, just on this one slide like that -- it's just not enough for us to make that kind of determination.

I mean, do I get B Building of HVAC, and I'm paying 60 million to go to an A minus -- the HVAC system alone? I may not be artfully saying that, but we're going

to need, as stewards of taxpayers' dollars, you know, to see the breakdown of these numbers in a lot more detail. Like government paying prevailing wages versus what? When they're building, the private sector, they can't even really get people now, so everyone is paying a little bit of a higher wage. So \$55 million because they're building for a government versus if they're building for private. I'm not voting for that. I mean, I don't know who would vote for that. So 71 percent is double the price of the building.

MAYOR GELLER: Vice-Mayor, I was going to address the issue of prevailing wage also. Because it's my understanding from both the County and from the private, and from organized labor, that on high-rises, just about everybody is paying prevailing wage right now. So --

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Is there a definition of prevailing wage?

MAYOR GELLER: Yes. Davis-Bacon, I believe that's what we're paying.

MR. KERR: That is correct, Mayor.

MAYOR GELLER: Right. Davis-Bacon organized, you know, rates, that are requirements, I'm sure in both the City of Fort Lauderdale and County contracts, on all of them right now. But beyond that, my understanding is again, that most private sector developers are paying that today; is that correct, Mr. Kerr?

MR. KERR: That is correct. We have -- just yesterday, I'm dealing with two different contracts where contractors are asking for increases over prices that were bid about six months ago, and that involves both labor and material increases. And as -- just to address the Vice-Mayor's question about identifying certain things, it is not uncommon to do alternates in any type of project. So you could put in whatever you want

to call it; Level B air conditioning at this, Level A at this, Level C at this, but you have everybody, you know --

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: But I'm just calling it Level A, B and C just for a lack of a better -- what you're getting is latest and greatest whatever we build. Whatever we build, we're building latest and greatest. This is just a different level that we're going to need to make sure -- I mean, presumably there are four private sector groups looking to bid on this that we shortlisted. If I was one of these private sector groups, I would come in with more of a building that satisfies the basic requirements. Like, I don't know, the 110 Tower; I don't know, is that iconic or is that not iconic? It's a building. It looks good to me. I go down there. It looks very pretty. I don't know. But to pay 55 -- to pay 55 to \$60 million to curve the edges is something that our constituents are going to vote us right out of office over.

MR. KERR: That example, you're correct, but that's more subjective. But as Mr. Cohen pointed out, with regard, for example, to the enhanced HVAC system, that is actually specified in the design criteria package. So if you did not provide pricing for that grade of air conditioning system, you would be rejected, your proposal necessarily would be rejected.

MAYOR GELLER: We can change that today.

MR. COHEN: Or you could ask us to, ask as the Vice-Mayor offered, for alternatives. So stick with the DCP, but also quote us a standard HVAC package. And again, I would caution that you're not going to see a \$60 million decrease, because the decrease is based on that new total.

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: Right. So were going to see a \$40 million --

MR. COHEN: 35, 40.

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: (Indiscernible.) Mathematics will work, but there's going to be a significant increase -- decrease when we look at that, because I think we have to look at that for virtually every one of these categories that are there.

MAYOR GELLER: Thank you.

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: Those are my comments.

MAYOR GELLER: I understand. First, I'll be commenting, and then Commissioner Holness. Are there other County Commissioners? I'm adding to the list. Commissioner Ryan. Commissioner Furr. Okay. I'm sorry. Senator Rich. Okay. Senator Rich. All right. In that order, it will be me, Holness, Ryan, Furr, Rich. Okay.

Vice-Mayor, one of the things that County Code talks about paying prevailing wages on all government contracts over a certain amount, and this is way over that. So that one part doesn't come out.

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: I don't even think you can get laborers and workers at anything right now on this kind of project, so I'm just using that --

MAYOR GELLER: Right. I was about to also bring that up, that you know, my understanding is you have to be paying prevailing wage now. So I don't really think that adds 11 percent.

Let me ask you a question. Can you tell me -- because I saw a slide on the City square feet; I didn't see a slide on the County square feet. Do you have a calculation of how many County square feet and what the County share is, so we can figure out approximately how much the County is paying per gross square foot? Because -- the reason I'm asking is, again, I asked county staff to come up with numbers on things like, recently completed. Understanding recently completed Class A Buildings are a lot more now than they were when they were completed, because right now



everything is expensive. I don't know if it will be three -- two years from now when we start construction, but right now everything is expensive. But the numbers that I received, for example, Broward County, the Broward College Development on Las Olas where they have that -- I think it's a GreenWise building -- with Class A, tall office building -- is all-in design, soft, hard cost, et cetera, around 350 a foot, that county staff basically advised me that Class A, all-in including the quote, "entrepreneurial incentive" for Class A office building is 275 to 375 a square foot. So I am assuming that we're looking at 350 to 375 on recently completed buildings, and that's going to be higher right now, private sector; if somebody is starting off, maybe it's four, four and a quarter. I'm trying to figure out. I don't know how many square feet we are building, and how much the County share is. Do you have those numbers?

MR. COHEN: I don't have them off the top of my head, Mayor, but they're very easy to secure for you. I'll have them on your desk.

MAYOR GELLER: Well, I saw something in there, the County share of the payment on one of the slides.

MR. COHEN: Correct.

MAYOR GELLER: And I guess if you tell me, if you go back, how much was that number? The County share?

MR. COHEN: I think it was 356.

MAYOR GELLER: 356. But that was including land. So if you back out the --

MR. COHEN: No. That's not including land.

MAYOR GELLER: 356. And how many total square feet? I have the sum of all human knowledge in my head, including a calculator. How many square -- if

you have the total square feet, and then you subtract the City square feet, you should be left with the County square feet.

MR. COHEN: No, sir. Because there's also common area in addition.

MAYOR GELLER: All right. So the common area for the City and County are not counted.

Mayor Trantalis --

MR. COHEN: No. So to clarify, there's a -- each floor has a commons area, but then there are the cafeteria space, meeting room spaces, the lobby.

COMMISSIONER RYAN: 625 million.

MAYOR GELLER: Right. Okay. The total square footage is 800 --

MR. COHEN: And 11.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay. So hold on. 625 million was it?

MR. COHEN: Correct. Well, no. Excuse -- yes, including -- that's including the parking garage, as Mr. Lagerbloom pointed out, there are still some outstanding questions on that.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay. So let's say 625,000 square feet. Is that correct?

MR. COHEN: No. 811,000 square feet.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay. I have to remember which way I divide.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Your devise is as only as good as the user.

MAYOR GELLER: Right. Divided by -- there's only two ways. It's two calculations. 625 divided by 800. 811. All right. Yeah. About \$770.00 a square foot. If the private sector -- and that is exactly what you're saying. If the private sector would be around \$400.00 a foot, and we're adding in a lot of these other factors, that takes us from

400 to 770. But we need to understand, we're paying around \$770 a square foot for construction. When private sector right now might be 400 or 4 and a quarter. So -- but again, that's why I've asked things like the daycare center, which is additional -- well, actually, no. That's just the square feet. All right.

So we've already established prevailing wage isn't the answer. Iconic architecture, I think is, resiliency, I think is. Aren't most buildings today building to LEED gold standards, new high-rises?

MR. COHEN: I believe we established a County policy --

MAYOR GELLER: I know we're going much higher than that, but (indiscernible.) -- high-rises are being built to LEED gold.

MR. COHEN: Right. So our Board established a policy that all new County buildings are a minimum of LEED gold.

MAYOR GELLER: What I'm saying is in the private sector.

MR. COHEN: Oh, no.

MAYOR GELLER: And I'll ask you -- I'll anybody in the audience; any of our County or City employees; are most -- if you are private sector and you're building new hot Class A high-rises, today, wouldn't you be building to LEEDS?

MR. COHEN: I'm going to look to Mr. Kerr who has some experience.

MAYOR GELLER: Mr. Kerr.

MR. KERR: It really depends on the developers. So for example, there's a developer that everybody is familiar with, Stiles, who because they not only build, they rent, and they provide the O&M, they try to be as efficient as possible. Whereas, you may have somebody building an apartment building, for example, and they're not so interested, because they're going to pass it through on the right.

MAYOR GELLER: If you're building -- let's compare class A office to class A office, and if you tell me that -- I will accept whatever your answer is, but I just want an answer to the question. Would most private developers building class A office buildings in Broward County today be building to LEED's gold?

MR. KERR: I don't know one way or the other. It would likely be the case, because of the nature of the developer, but I don't know for a fact.

MR. COHEN: Mayor, can I point out an important point that Mr. Kerr just made? A developer like Stiles who's going to also be managing and maintaining the building, is building to LEED gold, because there are long-term savings to be had, which are not to be forgotten in this conversation. So when we go LEED gold or net zero, there are long-term savings to be enjoyed in energy and other types of expenses that we want to be mindful of.

MAYOR GELLER: Which is an important issue.

MR. COHEN: Okay.

MAYOR GELLER: And when you go to a net zero, personally speaking, that's what it cost us, a lot more, but as you said, A, in the long run, that it will be energy saving, but B -- and this is speaking for one out of nine Commissioners, and none out of the five Fort Lauderdale City Commission, for one out of 14 of us -- I think the County needs to set an example, and the City should, in terms of energy efficiency. So that's something I personally would be willing to do, but again, I need -- if I do this, we do this, we need to be able to defend this. And that's why I've asked for this breakdown.

And I disagree with the Vice-Mayor on the enhanced HVAC, but I think there may need to be something between, you know, you said this is hospital grade. And I would prefer not to be, you know, just necessarily standard, so I would like to see, you

know, I hate to put them to too much, and say, "well, give us Option 1, 2 and 3." I would like to see some enhanced HVAC that doesn't have to be hospital grade.

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: (Indiscernible.)

MAYOR GELLER: So you know that may be the case, so I would like to see something, but that's not you know, "here, check your basic AC," but that's not hospital grade either. And again, building height is not different for private sector, which is why I'm still having a little bit of a problem why we're at 770 a foot when the private sector is at 400. If you add in iconic architecture, and you add in net zero, that should raise it by, let's say, 20 percent. So maybe instead of four and a quarter, you should be 550. 770 just seems -- and I do have some construction experience. It just seems too high.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Mayor, I'm not sure I understanding what you're saying, because the price that you come up -- Mr. Cohen --

MR. COHEN: Yes, sir.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: The price that you've offered today; is that without these?

MAYOR GELLER: No. That's with these.

MR. COHEN: It includes those. Yes.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: That's what I thought. So where are you coming up with your 700?

MAYOR GELLER: If we're building -- the cost for the building is what 665?

MR. COHEN: 625.

MAYOR GELLER: And it's 800 and something square feet, if you just divide that out, the cost per square foot is \$770.00.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Well, why is yours so much more per square foot than ours?

MAYOR GELLER: It's not. That's what you're paying too.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Are we sure about that? Chris, can you confirm that? We're not paying --

MAYOR GELLER: That's square foot per building. And if you're paying 44, and we're paying 56 -- 43 -- and We're paying 57, that's what you're paying.

MR. COHEN: That's why. Okay. What's throwing us off on this is the garage cost.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Okay.

MR. COHEN: Okay. It's built into the 625, but the cost per square foot of the garage is an anomaly.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Okay.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay. All right. So I'm just -- again, as the Vice-Mayor said, we are defenders of the taxpayers' budget. So we just need to make sure. Are you saying that, you know, 50 or \$100.00 a foot -- because that's basically what it would be -- is based on the fact that the garage needs to be reenforced?

MR. COHEN: I don't want to make a specific representation. Mayor, but I do know that the 625 includes the garage, and it kind of skews that per foot cost for a building.

MAYOR GELLER: I believe that the four and a quarter with most of the office buildings includes the parking garage. So for some reason, and I'm hoping, you

know, and I'm prepared to be moving forward today, but somebody needs to look at this. I've done my best by asking for this. Our cost per square foot is dramatically higher than private sector. And I asked for the cost drivers, and we've established it's not prevailing wage. It is iconic architecture. That's ten percent. Enhanced HVAC. We don't want hospital grade. But you have 12 -- I'm prepared to accept that, that includes the 12 percent on that. I'm prepared to say that the net zero is extra. So I'm willing to pay for those, but we need to identify them and make sure that's what the only difference is.

MR. COHEN: Mayor, so two things. One, at my peril, I'll respectfully disagree with you, and some of your colleagues.

MAYOR GELLER: Sure you want to do that?

MR. COHEN: Yes, sir. On the prevailing wage, because you are correct, now in this environment everybody has to "up their game," because it's a more competitive market. But you're looking at buildings that were completed in the past with known construction figures. Okay. Compared to those examples, prevailing wage is a relevant factor to consider in the cost differential. Looking at a new office building, yet to be built, I would not include it, but their per square foot cost would be higher than what you're talking about. Now --

MAYOR GELLER: The current square foot cost is 350 to 375. I bumped it to four and quarter, "recognizing."

MR. COHEN: So in our research, we found a range between 350 and 450 in the private sector for class A office buildings.

MAYOR GELLER: Right. I asked County staff to get me these numbers and County staff is the one that gave me the 350, 375 --

MR. COHEN: Okay. All right. You can tell me later and --

MAYOR GELLER: I will.

MR. COHEN: Okay. Thank you. But the bottom line is, it's clear that this has raised some concerns for you. We will now come back to you with a lot of additional information. We'll drill down to get you as much as we possibly can to clarify for you what these costs are driven by, why we would consider including them, so as you said, you can justify them to constituents if you decide to include them, and we can also include in our RFP a request for alternative bids in the relevant areas.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay. Well, as I said, the other Commissioners you should hear from. I personally think iconic architecture net zero are certainly -- and enhanced HVAC -- although not hospital -- are personally important.

The last issue before I call on Commissioner Holness, Ryan, Furr and Senator Rich; last one is you made some comment about the pre-nup, the City/County Agreement. Again, I consider that a prerequisite for proceeding, just because we don't want to -- you know, there will be times where the City and County simply disagree, that either one being right, either one being wrong, if the City says, "all right, we're evacuating the building," and the County says, "no, we're not," where the County says, "all right, we're having a curfew," and the City says, "no, we're not," and we're in the same building, I foresee this being problematic.

So I consider it a way of resolving or some agreement in advance, to be critical to proceeding -- just because where you have two masters, we cannot -- in one building -- it's bad enough when we publicly disagree over the issues, whether you know, County or Cities will prevail, but when we're in the same building, this is problematic and we have to have some agreement, I think, before we proceed. So that's just my personal view on that, but I saw Mayor Trantalis nodding.



All right. Commissioner Holness, you're recognized, sir.

COMMISSIONER HOLNESS: Thank you, Mayor. Could you tell us the lifespan of this building, what we expected? How long? The one we're about to build? Does anyone have any idea of what the lifespan would be?

MR. COHEN: That's what I thought. It's at least 50 years, sir.

COMMISSIONER HOLNESS: So 50 years.

MR. COHEN: At least. The better we maintain it, the longer it's going to last.

COMMISSIONER HOLNESS: So 50 years from now we should still be occupying this building?

MR. COHEN: Absolutely.

MAYOR GELLER: (Indiscernible.)

MAYOR TRANTALIS: We'll still going to pay for it.

COMMISSIONER HOLNESS: I doubt that any of us would be here.

So I believe part of what the public needs to understand is we're not building this just for to today. We're building it for the future. And if we're really planning for the future, we have to anticipate some of these things that we're doing. And I will come back to that in a little bit.

But Mr. Cohen, you're telling me we're using historical data to determine these costs. So these are buildings that have already pretty much been built that we're utilizing to analyze what our cost is going to be in the future.

MR. COHEN: That is correct. We researched a variety of different sources to come up with this information.

COMMISSIONER HOLNESS: So you're not telling us that these are projects that are being bided on now?

MR. COHEN: That is correct, sir.

COMMISSIONER HOLNESS: Certain ones that are already finalized and done?

MR. COHEN: Correct.

COMMISSIONER HOLNESS: So part of the issue here is this; when things like this change, it's hard for you to really do a good analysis. You're anticipating these costs, additions here, but the market probably already shifted for today. Is that what you're saying or am I accurate that if -- because say, for example, prevailing wages, if a project was bid a year ago, two years ago, and it's completed now or even six months ago, you might not have that cost issue with prevailing wage because today the prevailing wage is different than it was when this project or that project was, correct?

MR. COHEN: That's essentially correct, sir.

COMMISSIONER HOLNESS: And part of what I find with this is common in the real estate business for sales. We find that when the market is going up, especially sharply, the appraisals that you get are lower than where the market really is, because what folks are willing to pay today is not what they were willing to pay a month ago when there was more supply.

So that plays a role, I believe, in our escalation here that we're looking at. And again, it's difficult for us to really say this is exactly what it is today.

So the other concern I have is this, why I'm thinking we ought to go for the four proposals; is that we need to ensure we have strong competition. Because that's what's going to drive the prices to where it ought to be. And I certainly believe when there

are four people competing, it's better than when there's only three people competing. There's an advantage to the taxpayers as a result of that. I'm going to take a look at -- and if we can -- discuss some of these items individually.

Prevailing wage we have to do. We must.

MAYOR GELLER: It's in our Code.

COMMISSIONER HOLNESS: There's no way to get around that. An iconic structure can be designed in such a way that it might not cost us much more. It doesn't have to be rounded edges. It could be shaped, and different things that's done. So it's going to be up to the developers to be creative and innovative in these designs to make it as iconic as possible, but don't get the price to be too much.

Resiliency, 50 years from now, we need to think where are we going to be. What is the change in environment going to bring us in terms of sea level rise, in terms of environmental condition that may exist. Probably stronger hurricanes. How do we plan for that? So I don't know that we can really do much with changing -- asking for that to happen.

LEED gold and net zero is an investment now for savings in the future. Because if we build with this in mind, as you pointed out, Stiles would build more to that if they're going to manage these buildings, because you're going to have a savings in the future operation of the building. So how much of that we should take out, I don't know that we should. Because again, it's investing now to save for the future operations of these buildings.

And in terms of the enhanced HVAC; is that in response to what we're seeing with COVID, Mr. Cohen? Enhanced HVAC, is that in response to COVID and the possibility of something else like that happening?

MR. COHEN: That's exactly what precipitated it, and the likelihood that as the climate warms, we're going to be subject to more, not less incidents, like that.

COMMISSIONER HOLNESS: So in order to ensure the health and wellbeing of those who work in this building that we're building, and visit us, I would think we want to build to accommodate that. That's 50 years. 25 years from now what will it be like?

Height, I don't even know why we even put that in. Because what are we going to do; make the building shorter or fatter? I mean, that could be something we look at, but I believe also, the size of the land that we're building on, we'll have some control over that more so than what we want if we are to fit this much square footage on this space. And that's something I believe also, the developers and contractors and designers can deal with when they come in.

We have to be accommodating of the public in terms of our bathrooms. So my hope is that with four entities bidding on this project, and we, utilizing the competition, we could drive the price down. And I'm hoping that because we put these numbers up, these developers don't see it as a chance to use this rather than what the actual cost is; which leads to my final question.

Mr. Cohen, as we go forward, would we utilize our in-house costing experts to give us estimates to counter what we see coming in front of us as the market is changing? Can we do research and see where the market is at that time?

MR. COHEN: Yes. We'll not only use our in-house folks, but out-of-house folks as well. The further along we get; so when we get an actual conceptual design, you now have something more tangible to cost-against. As you get deeper into

design, and you understand the systems involved and whatnot, you're able to cost that much more accurately. We'll be doing that every step of way.

COMMISSIONER HOLNESS: Thank you.

MAYOR GELLER: Are you done, Commissioner Holness? Thank you.

Commissioner Ryan, followed by Commissioner Furr, followed by Commissioner -- by Senator Rich, followed by Commissioner Fisher.

Commissioner Ryan, you're recognized, sir.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Excuse me, Mayor. About what time do you think we're going to conclude this meeting? Because I know --

MAYOR GELLER: (Indiscernible.)

MAYOR TRANTALIS: -- every single person on your Commission has something to say.

MAYOR GELLER: We have a rule here that requires every Commissioner to speak, and on every item that's already been discussed.

Commissioner Ryan, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER GLASSMAN: Can we have the music back?

COMMISSIONER RYAN: You forgot to add, and every Commissioner speak at nauseam.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: They're all good questions, but I mean --

COMMISSIONER GLASSMAN: They're good questions. Wouldn't they be good questions for the bidders when they come in, and leave these really good questions for them? Can't we just decide; four people, three people, and go home, and then we ask all these questions of the people that come and present to us, when we flush this out in reality?

COMMISSIONER RYAN: Let's see if we could come up with a few different questions.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay. Mayor Trantalis and I had discussed that we needed to discuss the cost drivers. We have now done that. However, I do have Commissioners, and then you may have your Commissioners. Can't tell you that.

Commissioner Ryan, you're recognized, sir.

COMMISSIONER RYAN: Mr. Cohen, I have a couple of questions. First, a very large expense is going to be the O&M, and the reason we're getting out of this building, among others, is the very high O&M cost that is now significantly higher than the industry average. So in order for this very large expense in the long-term that the two governmental entities will incur, how are we going to be able to keep the O&M down low? I believe there's some discussion about an O&M consultant that will be retained by each of the four proposers. And what can we do to incorporate best practices in construction and design, so that we can keep the O&M as low as possible?

MR. COHEN: Thank you, Commissioner. So we have -- already have some O&M expertise on our Zyscovich team, but we need to bring in more. Because we have some subject matter experts only covering specific areas. We need somebody with that more comprehensive actual working knowledge, to your point. And they can help us on our side. I think what you were also driving with your question is that it's important -- we have asked the teams to identify -- excuse me. We will be asking the teams to identify in the RFP process who their operations and maintenance, O&M partner is. And we would want that O&M partner involved in the design process while it's happening to ensure that the design team is designing not just to form, but to function. And so an O&M partner can identify a potential maintenance nightmare upfront in the design process and offer

alternatives to that. So we -- we'll be looking at that closely. I'm not sure I addressed your full question. I might have missed something.

COMMISSIONER RYAN: Well, it will lead to the next one.

MR. COHEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER RYAN: So we have four developers here, and we're going to shortlist. We may shortlist four. We may not drop (indiscernible) at all. But I think certainly, we're going to go forward with at least three on a shortlist. And each of these teams is going to come up with a ten percent conceptual design, and that's going to cost hundreds of thousands of dollars for each. I understand that there's going to be some offset that will be paid to the wing selection, and at that point I think some of that is going to be paid to those bidders, that, you know, that we're not successful, they were not -- they wanted to get the development agreement. So with that, will we be able to have ownership of all of these documents, and will we be able then to incorporate what might be some of the better ideas from some of the other teams that were selected, and put them all together, in particular, on these you know, operating and maintenance expenses, but on other design criteria so we come up with the best package?

MR. COHEN: So specifically, Commissioner, what you're raising, and what we addressed with this body many moons ago, is the concept of the payment of a stipend. That stipend payment would only go to the teams that were not successful in being selected as the final development partner. That stipend would be paid by the selected developer to the other development teams, and that would allow for us -- and what we're putting in the RFP upfront, is that, because the stipend is being paid, the intellectual property that is developed during this process will become the property of the two partners. And so yes, we will be able to use ideas. And just -- a nuance, which I

know you probably all understand, this is more for the general audience. There is a difference between much more detailed drawings later on when you get into construction drawings that are signed and sealed. No designer or architect in their right mind is going to take somebody else's signed and sealed drawings and incorporate them into theirs.

We are starting at a 10 percent conceptual level. Much more basic. And at that level, it allows us to explore alternative ideas and look at things, and we're not going to be getting signed and sealed drawings per se. And there's more of an opportunity at those initial design stages to allow for the sharing of those additional ideas; for us to provide our own ideas as well. And I can tell you that was very effective during our Convention Center design process as well.

Did that answer your question, sir?

COMMISSIONER RYAN: It did. And that's why you have, at the end of that timeline that you showed where you said, "it's time to rock and roll," I think that's when you "put the shovel in the ground," but there's only two years into the process before you actually have the final development agreement signed. And then these ideas are discussed, and the best ones are incorporated into the final design package, which of course, you said, has the seal and the responsibility.

So my last question is on the whole concept of P3 with you know, a partner and perhaps a landlord/tenant relationship, long-term financing arrangements; you mentioned the opportunity or possibility that there would be a different financing structure that the County would undertake as opposed to what the City would undertake; and perhaps somebody could discuss what are the factors that we're looking at on what the County would be interested in and the City regarding financing. I guess like -- maybe -- what's the length of the relationship? When does the City or when does



the County take ownership of their proportionate space? Perhaps the legal team can speak to that?

MR. COHEN: I think that's a question more appropriate for the County Administrator. I'm going to defer to her.

MAYOR GELLER: County Administrator, you're recognized, ma'am.

MS. HENRY: So I heard two questions, sort of a two-part question. So the issue that will drive this for the County would be the interest rate, the net interest rate that the County would be expected to pay on the debt portion. And if we're breaking out the O&M cost from the actual cost of what that debt structure -- the debt payment is as part of the overall lease payment for a -- whether it's 40 years or 50 years of whatever the length of time -- so that would be the instrumental element that would make us -- that would make us determine that it would be in our best interest to finance our portion of it or not.

So a lot of it really depends on what the teams come back at; how long, what kind of net interest rate that this would cost us, what they're able to get and charge and so forth. We'll just look at the performer and look at the differential.

COMMISSIONER RYAN: Which would be an analysis to what we went through at the hotel --

MS. HENRY: That is correct.

COMMISSIONER RYAN: That's it for me.

MR. COHEN: Exactly. And for the edification of our partners, the initial hotel deal that was proposed us to by the P3 partner would have cost us \$430 million more in interest payments on the debt. Our cost to capital was considerably less. The term of their debt issuance was going to be longer, and that resulted in a significant

differential that gave us significant pause for thought, and then we evaluated doing it ourselves, and that's the way that we went.

And so by, again, being in this predevelopment agreement process, it avails us of the opportunity to analyze these financial proposals upfront and then determine what way we want to go.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: One further thing to Alan's point too, just for the City to hear, is that we're trying to -- as we word this process, each of us; the City and the County separately, retain the ability to do that same analysis and make decisions independent of each other if those timeframes happen to happen at a different period.

MAYOR GELLER: Commissioner Ryan, did that complete your questions?

Thank you.

Commissioner Furr -- and County Administrator, can you please make sure we have clean linens in the back for the -- (Indiscernible.)

Commissioner Furr, you're recognized, sir.

COMMISSIONER FURR: I'll be brief.

MAYOR GELLER: And by the way, also some Tylenol for Commissioner Glassman. Okay.

COMMISSIONER FURR: I'll be brief because I can imagine you're getting a little antsy over here. No. It does take a long time.

Just real quick, with regard to the resiliency; if there's a way of quantifying what those recovery costs would be, I think that would be helpful. Normally if somebody puts on solar panels, it tells you how many years later it's paid for, and then what the savings are. I think that would help --

MAYOR GELLER: Justify.

COMMISSIONER FURR: -- justify some of that.

With regard to enhanced HVAC, we've just been through something where everybody else shutdown except us, and we can't shutdown as a government, you know. So it makes sense. This is something that does make sense. And you know, I think it's easily justified based on what we have just been through for the last year.

With regard to competition, I think it's good to have all four. So that's all.

MAYOR GELLER: Thank you, Commissioner Furr.

Senator Rich, you're recognized, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER RICH: Thank you.

And I too will be brief. But I have a little different read. First, I want to start by just saying that some of our members can just look at the items on our June 15th agenda to see the impact of the shortage of labor and building materials. Because we have a number of seriously large change orders as a result of that. So that is a fact. Whether it's we're building now or not. I think it's something we'll have to look at. And I don't know, but I thought we were going to be able to ask questions or hear from the respondents to the request --

MAYOR GELLER: That's next, ma'am

COMMISSIONER RICH: Okay. Well, we spent a lot of time talking about things that we don't know that much about compared to the people who applied and responded to the Request for Qualifications.

So when I looked at the summary of contractor qualifications submittal, and you look at pages, like 11 to 13, for example, you have huge responses back here

about gold LEED, about net zero, about the things that we've been talking about. And I think that I would like to hear from them why they would think these things are important. Seems like they put it in a lot of their buildings, a lot of the things, the projects that they've done. I know for me, personally, those are things that I feel are very necessary. The resiliency, net zero, gold LEED, and certainly enhanced HVAC.

So you know, I'm hoping that we can have an opportunity before we have to vote on something and decide to pull something out or change something to hear from some of the people that seem to be the experts. I mean, we hope they are. The four of them are on this list. I'm going to support moving all four of them along, so but I think we should hear from them before we --

MAYOR GELLER: You will be able to ask questions. They are not making presentations, Senator, but you will be able to ask questions.

COMMISSIONER RICH: That's what I understood. Because we've already been talking for 1 hour and 45 minutes, and we're talking about things that they, in my opinion, should be able to be responding to.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay.

Commissioner Fisher, Dr. Sharief, you are both on the list. Neither of you are present. I will give you about 10 or 15 seconds to come out to the dais, or with your permission, why don't we start with the City of Fort Lauderdale. I'm not going to let anybody that's already ask questions ask again, but -- is that okay with you? Okay.

Mayor, why don't we turn it over to the City of Fort Lauderdale.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Thank you. Okay.

I thought I saw Commissioner Sharief just step out.

Okay. It's now -- do you have any -- okay, so why don't we just start with District 1. Heather Moraitis, can you hear me, and can you unmute yourself? What is it; \*6, I think it was?

MR. LAGERBLOOM: That's our system. I don't know about the County's system. But she does have a couple questions. We just need to --

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Heather, can you unmute yourself? \* 6.

COMMISSIONER MORAITIS: Can you hear me?

MAYOR GELLER: Yes. We can hear you now, ma'am.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Yes, we can.

COMMISSIONER MORAITIS: Can you hear me now?

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Yes, we can.

COMMISSIONER MORAITIS: Okay. Thank you, Mayor. I just figured that out.

Thank you. I'll be brief as well. I know we do want to get on specific questions. I just want to make sure; maybe we can understand this more from the people who have committed, that if we are making all these investments upfront for the LEED platinum, net zero building, will the taxpayer see the long-term savings when we have the private partner responsible for the operating and maintenance on the building since we're in the P3?

So I know -- I heard a lot of conversations about, cost per square feet, you know, a lot higher than a traditional building. So I know we're making those investments. I just want to see those investments reflected in the long-term rent, lease, whatever we're paying that P3 operator.

I do think it also notes then, to have the RFP reflect our desires to have some alternative bids in some of the areas that we were discussing earlier too. I think that makes sense that we don't reject them if they aren't meeting the requirements. So I would like to see that added as well. That's it for me.

COMMISSIONER MORAITIS: Okay. Great. Thank you.

Commissioner Glassman.

COMMISSIONER GLASSMAN: Yes. Thanks, Mayor. No. I did actually read a lot of the information that was presented by each of the responses that we received. So I'm good with all of that. Thanks.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Okay. Commissioner McKinzie.

COMMISSIONER MCKINZIE: I'm good as well. Thank you.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Okay. And Commissioner Sorensen.

COMMISSIONER SORENSEN: Yeah. Thanks, Mayor. I'm good. I'd like to, I think move forward with four makes sense. Thank you.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Okay. So --

COMMISSIONER GLASSMAN: That's the way we roll.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: So let me just conclude by saying that, you know, in terms of looking at this list, I think it was presented to us not so much to react to, but to just indicate to us what the cost drivers were, like I think you and I were both asking.

MAYOR GELLER: That's why I asked for that.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Right. And you know, whether they're all or some are incorporated in the final analysis that somebody needs to determine at some point, but I do believe that today's session was intended to listen to the proponents and

to come up with some decision as to whether or not to accept the four that are being presented today. So we're done.

MAYOR GELLER: I think that although it has taken a while, I think it's important that we raise the questions on the cost drivers, both, so that if we go with all of these we can defend these later, and so that presenters can listen to this, which is why we did this before.

I apologize to both Dr. Sharief and Commissioner Fisher; however, we are now completed our questions from the Commission, and we are on to questions for proposers.

We now have the opportunity to ask questions of each proposer. Only one proposer with all team members will be on the line at any time. In accordance with Section 286.0113 of the Florida Statutes, questions directed to proposers and their answers during evaluation meetings are closed to the public and competing proposers.

Only UDPA Members, City and County staff ,and the proposer and its team, may be present in the virtual meeting room during each respective proposer's Q&A period.

Subconsultants, partnering with multiple proposers may only be present in the virtual meeting room during one question and answer period. Proposers will be called in alphabetical order for questions and answer period. Proposers will be called in alphabetical order for questions and answers. At the conclusion of each question and answer period, County Purchasing staff will email the next proposer to join the closed meeting related to that proposer.

At this time, each proposer must leave the meeting until contacted by County Purchasing staff that the UDPA is ready for the proposer's question and answer period.

Members of the public will not be able to see or hear the closed portion of the meeting. The meeting will re-open to the public upon completion of the last closed question and answer session. I now need to inquire from County and/or City staff if what we have now closed the UDPA meeting to each member that is other than the one that is being proposed? And who is number one, County staff?

MR. COHEN: It's Civic Unity ,sir.

MAYOR GELLER: Civic Unity. Can you confirm that everybody other than Civic -- (indiscernible.)

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: Welcome to the audio conferencing center.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Sorry. We have to switch to close -- (indiscernible.)

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC SPEAKER -- (indiscernible.) -- followed by pound.

MAYOR GELLER: I couldn't hear what you said, but --

MR. COHEN: What she said is, because we went to a closed meeting, we're now switching the phone system that we're using so that we're able to provide confidentiality to each of the four teams, when they speak, they'll be the only one that has access to the phone line -- (indiscernible.)



AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: If you're the meeting organizer, press \* now.

MR. COHEN: -- (indiscernible.) -- that gives them the ability to speak to us and share information without worry or sharing it with their competition. It will take us about two minutes or so after each presentation -- (indiscernible.) --

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You're now joining the meeting.

MR. COHEN: -- (indiscernible.) -- to then switch over to the next line for the next group that's going to speak.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay. And have we confirmed that we're not broadcasting this and that everyone else is locked down?.

MS. MANGAN: (Indiscernible.)

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: Welcome to the audio conferencing center. Please enter a conference ID, followed by pound.

MAYOR GELLER: And will you let me know at the point in time that Civic Unity, and only Civic Unity, is on?

MS. MANGAN: Yes. Thank you.

MAYOR GELLER: Thank you. -- (indiscernible.) --

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: Sorry. I can't find a meeting with that number. Try entering your conference ID again, and then press, pound. If you're the meeting organizer, step \* now.

MAYOR GELLER: Mr. Cohen?

MR. COHEN: Yes, sir.

MAYOR GELLER: Come forward for a moment, please.

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You're now joining the meeting. Please wait for the leader to admit you to the meeting.. Thank you for your patience.

(Automated telephonic music playing.)

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You're now joining the meeting.

UNIDENTIFIED TELEPHONIC SPEAKER: Yeah. I'm here now. Hello, everybody.

COMMISSIONER GLASSMAN: No.

MS. MANGAN: Good afternoon –

COMMISSIONER GLASSMAN: Mayor, I have a question. I'm sorry. About the process, I understand that – and I read through all of them. Excellent. And I think so much of it would be flushed out if we actually had a full presentation. So my question is, if there is a consensus or maybe an overwhelmingly consensus to actually just listen to four presentations and shortlist all of them, I don't exactly know why we're going through this now, when many of these questions will be asked later on, a second time. I mean, are there people that really want to feel that we should just get rid of someone now? I mean, I'm very inclined to just have four presentations going forward. So I'm not sure exactly why we're taking this step now.

MAYOR GELLER: Good question. That's a good question.

Let me ask Mr. Cohen and Mr. Lagerbloom, first, and whether or not we should first find out who wants to seven shortlist – (indiscernible.) –

MR. COHEN: You okay if I start?

MR. LAGERBLOOM: Yeah. You can start.

MAYOR GELLER: -- (indiscernible.) – all four, which may not be necessary. Commissioner Lagerbloom, and/or Mr. Cohen, in either order, please respond to Commissioner Glassman's question..

MR. COHEN: So Commissioner, the process was set up not knowing, initially, how many responders we would have to the RFQ. And we could have 8, 10 or more. And this process was set up to allow you to just evaluate the information that was presented to you without a formal presentation. So they're not prepared to make a formal presentation today, but they are prepared to answer any questions that you might have on specifics. Because we have a much shorter field now, if I'm hearing you correctly, you might decide based on what you heard so far that you're ready to go, and they will be making -- the teams, themselves, will be making formal presentations in the next stage, the RFP, and so you would have ample opportunity then to ask them some of the questions that you might have now. I'm not presuming where you're going with this, sir. I'm just trying to --

COMMISSIONER GLASSMAN: Well, where I'm going is that that makes sense to me, and that's exactly what I thought. And we did have -- (indiscernible) -- dropped out, so we now have four. So we're "splitting hairs," if we're saying, you know, let's ask questions now. When I know that we will have questions later, I'm guaranteeing that we'll have questions later. So why can't we just decide right now if we would like to shortlist all four and just move forward?

MR. COHEN: Technically you can do that.

COMMISSIONER GLASSMAN: Well, I would love to make a motion to do that.

MR. TRANTALIS: To Commissioner Holness's point, the competition is --

MAYOR GELLER: Hold on one second, sir. And -- one second. I just have questions -- that I wanted to call on first, and then Commissioner, I would accept that motion for -- (indiscernible.)

MR. COHEN: And Mayor --

MAYOR GELLER: Senator Rich, (indiscernible) -- Commissioner Furr. Senator.

COMMISSIONER RICH: So I wanted --

MAYOR GELLER: Senator Rich, you're recognized.

MR. COHEN: One important point. If you do go in that direction, we need to switch back to the public meeting format before we formally make a motion like that.

MAYOR GELLER: I understand.

Senator Rich.

COMMISSIONER RICH: I have no problem doing exactly what Commissioner Glassman suggested. If we are not going to eliminate any of these proposals with regard to gold LEED, enhanced HVAC, without having the benefit of input from the very people we asked to respond. So that's what I want to know. If we're not going to touch that today --

VICE-MAYOR UDINE: We want --

COMMISSIONER RICH: -- If we're not going to touch that today, I'm absolutely fine with just moving forward and approving the four of them.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay. My understanding is -- If Mr. Cohen, if we were to ask for amendments to the RFP, does that need to be done now to give them options? That can be done later, correct?

MR. COHEN: It can be done later. Yes.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay. Let me call on Commissioner Furr, and then I would like to go back to Senator Rich and to --

MR. COHEN: And Mayor, one nuance. Even if we bring an RFP to you in August, and you want to make chances at that point, we could issue and then amend a week later. They would all be listening, and they would know in advance. So there's plenty of opportunity for us to do that.

MAYOR GELLER: Very good. Commissioner Furr, you're recognized, sir.

COMMISSIONER FURR: Thank you.

If I'm reading the room right, I think there's consensus now to essentially move all four forward. If I could make a motion to that?.

MAYOR GELLER: Actually, Commissioner --

MAYOR TRANTALIS: We can't until the public --

MAYOR GELLER: We cannot do -- stop.

COMMISSIONER FURR: So given that --

MAYOR GELLER: Commissioner Furr, I understand what you're saying.

At this point in time, while we are in a closed meeting, we cannot make a motion. So we can either ask questions now. I see two options. We can ask questions

or without taking a formal vote, we can see if there would be consensus to – I'll put it in the form of a question.

Is there consensus instead of shortlisting to three, we would want to just shortlist all four?. This is not a formal vote. We would require a formal vote once we go back to the public, but if I hear consensus that will shortlist all four, I will adjourn this and go back to the public meeting for that motion.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: The City Commission has consensus on that.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay. Is there any -- County Commission, does there appear to be consensus? In this case, I hereby declare the closed portion of the meeting, the question and answer period adjourned.

And we will now go into public comment/. And at the conclusion of public comment, I will accept a motion to accept all four.

So is there anyone on the phone? Well, we need to go --

MR. COHEN: We need to go back.

MAYOR GELLER: -- make sure that we're back --

MR. COHEN: Right.

(Indiscernible multiple speakers.)

MAYOR GELLER: Civic Unity, you were so good to us in a single question.

Can you advise – do we have call? Once we go back to public, we need to let the other three presenters know what just occurred.

MR. COHEN: We're in the process of doing that as we speak.

MAYOR GELLER: Thank you.

MS. MANGAN: Thank you, Civic Unity. We're going back to the open portion.

UNIDENTIFIED TELEPHONIC SPEAKER: Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED TELEPHONIC SPEAKER: Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED TELEPHONIC SPEAKER: Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED TELEPHONIC SPEAKER: Thank you.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: So Commissioner Glassman, do you want to make a motion –

MAYOR GELLER: No, no. We're required to go through public comment first – (indiscernible.).

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Oh, I'm sorry.

MAYOR GELLER: -- (Indiscernible.) I don't know if there is anybody from the public.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: I thought you had already done that.

MAYOR GELLER: No.

MR. COHEN: And Mayor, we're resetting the technical side of it.

MAYOR GELLER: We're not back to the open meeting yet.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: I thought you fired those folks.

MAYOR GELLER: And then after -- the first thing we do, once we're back in the public meeting – (indiscernible.)

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: Welcome to the audio conferencing center. Please enter a conference ID followed by pound.

MAYOR GELLER: -- (indiscernible.) –

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- (indiscernible.) we chose the first one –

MAYOR GELLER: Right. Then we'll take public comment.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We didn't need to hear anything from you.

MAYOR GELLER: Right. That would scare them all appropriately.

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You're now joining the meeting.

MAYOR GELLER: Is everybody back in the public?

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You've been unmuted. To unmute yourself, press \*6. This meeting is being recorded and/or transcribed.

MS. MANGAN: Once second. Let me just doublecheck the audio for this room.

COMMISSIONER FURR: Mayor, I would let the other three know that no conversation took --.

MAYOR GELLER: Yeah. I have raised -- yeah. We will let them know what we decided to do once we -- (indiscernible.)

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: Welcome to the audio conferencing center. Please enter a conference ID followed by pound.

MAYOR GELLER: Obviously before we proceed, I want to ensure -- I'd like a Roll Call to make sure that all four presenters are on the line.

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You're now joining the meeting --

MS. MANGAN: We're just going to confirm audio that you can hear this room -- (indiscernible.)

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: THE OPERATOR: You've been muted. To unmute, press \*6. Muted.



MR. LAGERBLOOM: Let's see if Vice-mayor Moraitis is there. Vice-Mayor Moraitis?

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You are no longer muted.

MAYOR GELLER: -- (indiscernible.) -- Watch and hear us?. But we don't know because they're all muted. They're all muted.

MR. COHEN: Staff is working on that right now.

MAYOR GELLER: Staff is verifying.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: Vice-Mayor, can you hear us?

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Yes. Her answer is yes.

MR. LAGERBLOOM: Well, her answer by text is yes, but we can't hear her in response to the room. So she can hear us, but –

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Vice-Mayor, can you \*6.

COMMISSIONER MORAITIS: Sorry.

MR. COHEN: There she is.

COMMISSIONER MORAITIS: Can you hear me?

MR. LAGERBLOOM: We can. Okay. Great. Thank you.

MAYOR GELLER: Can you please verify/. Alan, can you take a Roll Call to ensure that all four development teams are on the line?

MR. COHEN: Connie, will they have to individually \*6 to do that?.

MS. MANGAN: (Indiscernible.)

MR. COHEN: All right. So for those who are listening to this meeting, we would like to confirm that the four teams are all still on.

Will someone from Civic Unity, please indicate as such.

FROM THE FLOOR: Yeah. Hello, everyone. You go Max L. (phonetic) from Civic Unity partners, and our respondent representative.

MR. COHEN: Okay. Thank you. Well, someone from the Joint Government Partnership please respond?

MAYOR GELLER: Is there anyone on the line from Joint Government Center Partnership?.

MR. COHEN: You'll have to hit \*6 if you have not, to speak, whoever your representative is. I got it. We'll come back to you. Will someone from Hunt (phonetic) please knowledge that you're on the call still?

MAYOR GELLER: Is there anyone from Hunt on the line? Please press \*6. This is why I wanted a Roll Call. It sounds like --

MR. COHEN: Okay. Will someone from the Sonnenblick-Suffolk/Pirtle Group please knowledge? Press \*6 please, to unmute your phone. Press \*6.

FROM THE FLOOR: This is Gordon Glover (phonetic) the Sonnenblick-Suffolk/Pirtle team. We are present and are part of this call.

MR. COHEN: Thank you very much.

Would someone from United Campus Partners, please acknowledge?.

UNIDENTIFIED TELEPHONIC SPEAKER: (Indiscernible) – Partners is on too. We lost your audio for about five minutes.

MR. COHEN: Okay. But you're on now. Great. Okay.

And would someone again from the Joint Government Center Partnership please knowledge?.

UNIDENTIFIED INDISCERNIBLE TELEPHONIC SPEAKER:  
(Indiscernible.) -- lost it again.

MR. COHEN: We can hear you.

MAYOR GELLER: We have tin cans connected to each proposer.

MR. COHEN: Yes. Apparently we do. Joint Government Partnership?.

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You've been muted. To unmute yourself, press \*6.

UNIDENTIFIED TELEPHONIC SPEAKER: Your audio keeps going in and out.

AUTOMATED TELEPHONIC RESPONSE: You are no longer muted.

MAYOR GELLER: Our audio keeps going in and out apparently.

MR. COHEN: Joint Government Center Partnership, is there anyone on the line?

UNIDENTIFIED TELEPHONIC SPEAKER: Yes. We are here.

MR. COHEN: Great. Thank you.

MAYOR GELLER: Excellent. That's all four.

MR. COHEN: That's all four.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay. Let me advise you what occurred, because only one of you came on, that was, I believe, Civic Unity. No questions or comments were asked of Civic Unity. There appeared to be consensus from the Commission, although we couldn't vote in secret meeting, but there appeared to be consensus to shortlist all four; therefore, there were no questions, no discussion of the one presenter. We appeared to reach consensus to shortlist all four prior to there being any discussion. So what we will be doing now is taking any public comment, and then calling for a motion to shortlist.

Mr. Cohen, can you advise me. Is there any member of public either on the phone or in person outside that wishes to comment?

MR. COHEN: We are not aware of the anyone outside. They were only allowed to sign up in advance, as with Board Meetings, and so one signed up for public comments, sir.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay. Is there an operator on the phone? ATT operator? There's no operator. So there's no one we could ask. So if there is anyone on the phone that is a member of the public not affiliated with any of the teams, please press \*6. If there is anyone in the public that wishes to speak that is not affiliated with any of the teams, please press \*6 and identify yourself. I don't hear anyone; therefore, at this point in time; we're ready to go to the shortlisting. Is there a motion?

COMMISSIONER SHARIEF: So moved.

MAYOR GELLER: There's got to be a motion. So Commissioner Furr or Commissioner Glassman, are one of you prepared to make a motion to shortlist either three of the four or all four?.

COMMISSIONER FURR: I'm happy to make a motion to shortlist all four.

COMMISSIONER GLASSMAN: Second.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay. So that seconded by Commissioner Glassman.

COMMISSIONER GLASSMAN: Second.

MAYOR GELLER: Is there any discussion on the motion? Is there any discussion on the motion? Seeing no – yes. Okay. I see the County Attorney's Office waiving. Yes.

MR. KERR: Yes. The motion is from the County Commission first. And then the City Commission, there's a separate motion.

MAYOR GELLER: Right. Understood, sir. Is there any discussion?

MULTIPLE INDISCERNIBLE SPEAKERS: (Indiscernible.)

MAYOR GELLER – I'm going to ask for a Roll Call of the County Commission.

COMMISSIONER FURR: No. We need a second from the County Commission. Second.

MAYOR GELLER: Fine. Okay. Is there – seeing there's not discussion, yes. County Attorney.

MR. MYERS: Yes. Mayor, it should ideally be a multiple part motion. It should be a motion to shortlist all four proposers that responded to the RFQ to authorize County and City staff to collaboratively develop, and at this point, bring back I guess, an RFP for our Board's respective consideration.

COMMISSIONER FURR: That's what I meant.

MAYOR GELLER: That's exactly -- I heard him make that exact motion.

COMMISSIONER GLASSMAN: And I'll move that for the City.

MAYOR GELLER: Okay.

MULTIPLE INDISCERNIBLE SPEAKERS: (Indiscernible.)

MAYOR GELLER: Right. It moved by Commissioner Furr, seconded by Commissioner Holness. The motion is a motion to shortlist all four proposers that responded to the RFQ to authorize County and the City staff to collaboratively develop and issue a request for proposal and to solicit proposals from all four pursuant to the RFP.

County Commission, all those –

COMMISSIONER FURR: Hold on one second. County Attorney.

MR. MEYERS: I apologize. It changed, half of this motion to draft it. So staff is not going to issue the RFP, they're just going to develop it and bring it back to the Board, and our Board in August, and the City of Fort Lauderdale's Board in August, for consideration. That's the second part of the --.

MAYOR GELLER: Exactly.

All those – see, you heard.

All those County Commissioners, only, in favor of the motion, please signify by saying, aye. Opposed? Show that adopted unanimously by a vote of 8-0, with Commissioner Bogen absent.

VOTE: 8-0. Commissioner Bogen was out of the room during the vote.

MAYOR GELLER: Mayor Trantalis.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Thank you. Without having to recite the entire motion. Is there --

COMMISSIONER GLASSMAN: So moved.

COMMISSIONER SORENSEN: Second.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: So moved and it's seconded. I want to make sure that Vice-Mayor Moraitis is hearing that. Vice-Mayor Moraitis, are you on the line?.

COMMISSIONER MORAITIS: Yes.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: So I'm going to call the Roll. So I'll start with you. Did you hear the motion?

COMMISSIONER MORAITIS: Yes.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: How do you vote?

COMMISSIONER MORAITIS: Yes.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Commissioner Glassman?

COMMISSIONER GLASSMAN: Yes.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Commissioner McKinzie?

COMMISSIONER MCKINZIE: Yes.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: And Commissioner Sorensen?

COMMISSIONER SORENSEN: Yes.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: Okay. And I say, yes, too. So unanimous with the City of Fort Lauderdale.

VOTE: 5-0.

MAYOR TRANTALIS: See how cooperative we are, Mayor?

MAYOR GELLER: Exactly.

At this point in time, are there any additional questions or comments before we adjourn the meeting? Are there any additional questions or comments either from City or County Commissioners before we adjourn the meeting? I see no questions or comments, therefore, our --

MR. COHEN: No. Just we haven't spoken yet to -- on the 24th? That's -- no. We haven't spoken to Monica and Bertha yet, but we are possibly targeting August 24th to be the next UDPA Meeting. We still have to work that out, but I'm just --

MAYOR GELLER: I was going to say, don't we have a Commission meeting that day?

MR. COHEN: We do. But the only thing you would do is authorize the issuance of the RFP.

MAYOR GELLER: With this group it will take hours. Okay.

If there are no questions or comments the agenda being completed, the Joint UDPA meeting is adjourned.

(This day's UDPA Meeting adjourned at 2:14 p.m.)